RHETORICAL FALLACIES

EMOTIONAL FALLACIES

An appeal to the listener's or reader's emotions that is unfair and disproportionate.

Scare Tactics:

Try to frighten people into agreeing with the arguer by threatening them or predicting unrealistically dire consequences. *Example: If you don't support the party's tax plan, you and your family will be reduced to poverty.*

Bandwagon Appeals:

Encourage an audience to agree with the writer because everyone else is doing so. *Example: Paris Hilton carries a small dog in her purse, so you should buy a Chihuahua and put it in your Louis Vuitton.*

Slippery Slope Arguments:

Suggest that one thing will lead to another, oftentimes with disastrous results. Example: If you get a B in high school, you won't get into college, and therefore will never have a meaningful career.

Either/Or Choices:

Reduce complicated issues to only two possible courses of action. Example: The patent office can either approve my generator design or say goodbye forever to affordable energy.

* * *

ETHICAL FALLACIES unreasonably advance the writer's own authority or character.

Using Authority Instead of Evidence:

Occurs when someone offers personal authority as proof. *Example: Trust me – my best friend wouldn't do that.*

Guilt by Association: Calls someone's character into question by examining the character of that person's associates. *Example: Sara's friend Amy robbed a bank; therefore, Sara is a delinquent.*

Dogmatism: Shuts down discussion by asserting that the writer's beliefs are the only acceptable ones. *Example: I'm sorry, but this religion is correct, the others are false, and that's that.*

Moral Equivalence:

Compares minor problems with much more serious crimes (or vice versa). Example: These mandatory seatbelt laws are fascist.

Ad Hominem Arguments:

Attack a person's character rather than that person's reasoning. *Example: Why should we think a candidate who recently divorced will keep her campaign promises?*

Straw Man Arguments:

Set up and often dismantle easily refutable arguments in order to misrepresent an opponent's argument to defeat him. Example: A: We need to regulate access to handguns. B: My opponent believes that we should ignore the rights guaranteed to us as citizens of the United States by the Constitution. Unlike my opponent, I am a firm believer in the Constitution, and a proponent of freedom.

LOGICAL FALLACIES depend upon faulty logic.

A Hasty Generalization:

Draws conclusions from scanty evidence. *Example: I wouldn't eat at that restaurant—the only time I ate there, my entree was undercooked.*

Faulty Causality (or Post Hoc) Arguments:

Confuse chronology with causation: one event can occur after another without being caused by it. *Example: After the release of violent video game Annihilator, incidents of school violence tripled—not a coincidence.*

A Non Sequitur (Latin for "It doesn't follow"):

A statement that does not logically relate to what comes before it. An important logical step may be missing in such a claim. *Example: If those protesters really loved their country, they wouldn't question the government.*

Equivocation:

A half-truth, or a statement that is partially correct but that purposefully obscures the entire truth. *Example: "I did not have sexual relations with that woman."* – *President Bill Clinton*

Begging the Question:

Often called circular reasoning, begging the question occurs when the believability of the evidence depends on the believability of the claim. Ex. The Bible is the infallible word of God. 2. The Bible says that God exists. 3. Therefore, God exists.

Faulty Analogy:

An inaccurate, inappropriate, or misleading comparison between two things. *Example: Letting prisoners out on early release is like absolving them of their crimes.*

Stacked Evidence:

Represents only one side of the issue, thus distorting the issue. *Example: Cats are superior to dogs because they are cleaner, cuter, and more independent.*

Oversimplification:

When a writer obscures or denies the complexity of the issues in an argument. Ex. School violence has gone up and academic performance has gone down ever since the introduction of graphically violent video games; therefore, violent video games should be banned, which will result in improved schools.